

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/974,048	10/11/2001	Toshio Shintani	Q66510	7616	
75	590 05/06/2003				
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			EXAMINER		
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			DINH, T	DINH, TUAN T	
Washington, Do	C 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827	;1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· Advisory Action	09/974,048	SHINTANI ET AL.	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan T Dinh	2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application of the same application of the same application of the same application application of the same applic	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		11 / /4	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Hil 9	
10. Other:	th a and the	WASANT CUNEO	
		WISOPH ENT EXAMINED	
		HNOLOG ENTER 2800	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		<del></del>	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The insertion of "...soldering bump containing tin-silver-copper" after a final rejection is not appropriate, they riase new issues that examiner would require further consideration and/or search.